

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

JAMES LOGAN, SR.

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES RAILROAD  
RETIREMENT BOARD

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No. 4:25-CV-00238-O

**ORDER**

On June 20, 2025, Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 13). At the time of amendment, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 8) was pending. It is well-settled that "[t]he filing of an amended complaint generally renders pending motions to dismiss as moot." *Singh & Singh LLC v. Berkley Assurance Co.*, No. 4:16-CV-00481-O, 2017 WL 3723770, at \*3 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 13, 2017) (quoting *Stredwick v. Dallas Margarita Soc'y, Inc.*, No. 3:12-CV-00623-F, 2012 WL 12893431 (N.D. Tex. Sept. 4, 2012)). Because Plaintiff properly filed his First Amended Complaint as a matter of course within the time period permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)(B), the Court **DENIES as moot** Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

**SO ORDERED** on this **23rd day of June, 2025**.

  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE